

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|---------------------|
| 08/946.710 | 10/08/9 | BROD | 5 | D5716C1P4 |
| | | | | |

IM52/0507

SARAH J BRASHEARS MCGREGOR AND ADLER 8011 CANDLE LANE HOUSTON TX 77071

| EXAMINER | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| SAYALA.C | | | | | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | | |
| 1761 | /2 | | | | |
| DATE MAILED: | 05/07/99 | | | | |

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

| | THE | E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: | | | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| a) | | is extended to run | or continues to run | from the date of the final rejection | | | | |
| b) | | expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| | | The date on which the response, the purposes of determining the period of | petition, and the fee have been filed of extension and the corresponding a | 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. is the date of the response and also the date for the nount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR ry period for response or as set forth in b) above. | | | | |
| X | App | pellant's Brief is due in accordance wi | ith 37 CFR 1.192(a). | | | | | |
| 3 | Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 3/25/19 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: | | | | | | | |
| 1. | | The proposed amendments to the cla | aim and /or specification will not be er | tered and the final rejection stands because: | | | | |
| | | a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. | | | | | | |
| | | b. They raise new issues that wo | uld require further consideration and/ | or search. (See Note). | | | | |
| | | c. They raise the issue of new ma | atter. (See Note). | | | | | |
| | | d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the Issues for appeal. | | | | | | |
| | | e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| | | NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 2. | П | Newly proposed or amended claims | would be allow | od if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling | | | | |
| | _ | the non-allowable claims. | | _ | | | | |
| 3. | 风 | Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed as follows: | sed amendment will be entered | will not be entered and the status of the claims will | | | | |
| | | Claims allowed: | | _ | | | | |
| | | Claims objected to: | | - - | | | | |
| | | However; | | | | | | |
| | | Applicant's response has overce | ome the following rejection(s): | | | | | |
| 4. | De la | The affidavit, exhibit or request for re USONO Atalest at paper | es 4-6 athara 70 | out does not overcome the rejection because of the the Office Action nailed 10/23/98 ections at paragraphs 8 and 9 of | | | | |
| 5. | | The affidavit or exhibit will not be corpresented. | nsidered because applicant has not s | nown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier | | | | |
| | The | proposed drawing correction ha | as has not been approved by th | e examiner. | | | | |
| | Oth | er , | | Charole | | | | |
| Ħ | 10 | CANNOT be overcom terminal discla | ب ، | // 0 | | | | |
| 0 | / < | terminal discla | maintained | CHHAYA D. SAYALA PRIMARY EXALINER | | | | |

GioXUP :: Y